

# Interval-based Robustness of Linear Parametrized Filters

Alexandre Chapoutot and Thibault Hilaire and Philippe Chevrel

ENSTA ParisTech – 32 bd Victor, 75739 Paris Cedex 15, France  
LIP6 – 4, place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France  
IRCCyN – 1, rue de la Noë, BP 92 101, 44321 Nantes Cedex 3, France  
`alexandre.chapoutot@ensta-paristech.fr`  
`thibault.hilaire@lip6.fr`  
`philippe.chevrel@mines-nantes.fr`

**Keywords:** linear filters, interval arithmetic, sensitivity analysis

**Introduction.** This article deals with the resilient implementation of parametrized linear filters (or controllers), *i.e.* with realizations that are robust with respect to their fixed-point implementation.

The implementation of a linear filter/controller in an embedded device is a difficult task due to numerical deteriorations in performances and characteristics. These degradations come from the quantization of the embedded coefficients and the roundoff occurring during the computations.

As mentioned in [1], there are an infinity of equivalent possible algorithms to implement a given transfer function  $h$ . To cite a few of them, one can use direct forms, state-space realizations,  $\rho$ -realizations, etc. Although they do not require the same amount of computation, all these realizations are equivalent in infinite precision, but they are no more in finite precision. The *optimal realization problem* is then to find, for a given filter, the most resilient realization.

We here consider an extended problem with filters those coefficients depend on a set  $\theta$  of parameters that are not exactly known during the design. They are used for example in automotive control, where a very late fine tuning is required.

**Linear parametrized filters.** Following [3], we denote  $\mathbf{Z}(\theta)$  the matrix containing all the coefficients used by the realization,  $h_{\mathbf{Z}(\theta)}$  the associated transfer function and  $\theta^\dagger$  the quantized version of  $\theta$ .  $\mathbf{Z}^\dagger(\theta^\dagger)$  is then the set of the quantized coefficients, *i.e.* the quantization of coefficients  $\mathbf{Z}(\theta^\dagger)$  computed from the quantized parameters  $\theta^\dagger$ . The corresponding transfer function is denoted  $h_{\mathbf{Z}^\dagger(\theta^\dagger)}$ .

**Performance Degradation Analysis.** The two main objectives of this article are to evaluate the impact of the quantization of  $\theta$  and  $\mathbf{Z}(\theta)$  on the filter performance and to estimate the parameters  $\theta$  that give the worst transfer function error in the set of possible parameters  $\Theta$ .

For that purpose, there are mainly two kinds of tools to study the degradation of filter performance due to the quantization effect: *i)* use a sensitivity measure (with respect to the coefficients) based on a first order approximation and a statistical quantification error model; *ii)* use interval tool, based on transfer function with interval coefficients. In both cases, we seek the maximal distance between the exact transfer function  $h_{\mathbf{Z}(\theta)}$  and the quantized one  $h_{\mathbf{Z}^\dagger(\theta^\dagger)}$ . For that purpose, we can use the  $L_2$ -norm *i.e.*,  $\|g\|_2 \triangleq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(e^{j\omega})|^2 d\omega}$  or the *Maximum norm i.e.*,  $\|g\|_\infty \triangleq \max_{\omega \in [0, 2\pi]} |g(e^{j\omega})|$ .

The measure of the degradation of the finite precision implementation is then given by  $\|h_{\mathbf{Z}(\theta)} - h_{\mathbf{Z}^\dagger(\theta^\dagger)}\|_\diamond$ , with  $\diamond \in \{2, \infty\}$ . So the worst-case parameters  $\theta_0$  can be found by solving:

$$\arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \|h_{\mathbf{Z}(\theta)} - h_{\mathbf{Z}^\dagger(\theta^\dagger)}\|_\diamond \quad . \quad (1)$$

Since  $\Theta$  is an interval vector, we denote  $[h]$  the interval transfer function. With an interval approach, we can define the following constrained global optimization problem:

$$\text{Maximize } \|[h]_{\mathbf{Z}^\dagger(\theta^\dagger)}^\dagger - [h]_{\mathbf{Z}(\theta)}\|_\diamond \quad \text{subject to } \theta \in \Theta \quad . \quad (2)$$

Note that in both cases, the evaluation of the norms can be done in interval with  $\omega \in [0, 2\pi]$ .

We will present the solutions of this problem using interval optimization methods [2] and we will compare them with the statistical sensitivity approach.

## References:

- [1] H. Hanselmann. Implementation of digital controllers - a survey. *Automatica*, 23(1):7–32, January 1987.
- [2] E.R. Hansen and G.W. Walster. *Global Optimization Using Interval Analysis*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Marcel Dekker, 2004.
- [3] T. Hilaire, P. Chevrel, and J.F. Whidborne. A unifying framework for finite wordlength realizations. *IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems*, 8(54):1765–1774, August 2007.